



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,530	06/20/2000	Anthony Sabatino	1461	5976
28005	7590	09/08/2004	EXAMINER	
SPRINT 6391 SPRINT PARKWAY KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			LY, NGHI H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2686	14

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/597,530

Applicant(s)

SABATINO, ANTHONY

Examiner

Nghi H. Ly

Art Unit

2686

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4, 7-9, 11-13, 15-20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbefin et al (US 6,269,243) in view of Powell (US 4,916,460) and further in view of Ritter (US 2002/0094829 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 9, 15, 17 and 23, Corbefin teaches a system for providing wireless communication service to a passenger compartment of an aircraft (see fig.1, passengers inside the aircraft A), comprising in combination: an external antenna located on an exterior portion of the aircraft (see fig.1 external antenna 2 and see abstract for more details), the external antenna operable to receive and in coming external signal form and transmit an out going external signal to a terrestrial base station (see fig.1, wireless connection between antenna 2 and base station 1, and see column 3, lines 45-55), a cabin antenna located in the passenger compartment of the aircraft (see fig.1, antenna 3 and see Abstract), and a signal pathway linking the external antenna to the cabin antenna (see fig.1, the connection between antennas 2 and 3).

Corbefin does not specifically disclose at least a portion of the signal pathway includes at least one low-energy transmission medium.

Powell teaches at least a portion of the signal pathway includes at least one low-energy transmission medium (see fig.1 fiber optic cable connection between antennas 16A and 40, and see column 1, lines 43-44, "a fiber optic network connected between the primary antennas and the secondary antennas").

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Powell into the system of Corbefin so that signals traveling within the fiber optic network are unaffected by radio frequency interference (see Powell column 3, lines 53-57) and the network could be established at a very significantly reduced cost (see Powell column 3 lines 38-41).

The combination of Corbefin and Powell into the system of Corbefin does not specifically disclose the cabin antenna oriented to substantially minimize back lobe energy directed toward the cockpit area.

Ritter teaches the cabin antenna oriented to substantially minimize back lobe energy directed toward the cockpit area (see page 1, [0019] "airplane" and see page 1, [0020]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Ritter into the system of Corbefin and Powell in order to prevent communication signal interfere with the cockpit (Ritter, see page 1, [0019] for "airplane").

Regarding claims 2, 8 and 16, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter further teaches the low-energy transmission medium comprises at least one optical fiber (see Powell fig.1 fiber optic cables 20 and 22).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter further teaches the low-energy transmission medium is non-metallic (also see Powell fig.1, fiber optic cables 20 and 22).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter teaches the at least one optical fiber has a first fiber end and a second fiber end (see Powell fig.2, optical interface system 25 and see column 2, lines 29-42), the signal pathway additionally comprises: first and second converters operable to convert RF signals to light energy and to convert light energy to RF signal, wherein the first converter is located at the first fiber end and the second converter is located at the second fiber end (see Powell fig.2, and see column 3 lines 18-37) and a repeater (see Corbefin fig.1, transponder 4).

Regarding claims 11 and 19, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter further teaches the step of converting the at least one low-energy outgoing signal (see Powell fig.1, fiber optic cables 20 and 22) and the step of transmitting the at least one outgoing external signal are performed at a location outside the passenger compartment (see Corbefin fig.2, ER1 is located outside the passenger compartment).

Regarding claims 12, 20 and 24, Corbefin further teaches a system for providing wireless communication service to a passenger compartment of an aircraft (see Corbefin fig.1 wireless communication between passengers P and antenna 3).

Regarding claim 13, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter further teaches repeating the at least one incoming external including amplifying the at least one incoming external signal (see Powell fig.1 an amplifier under antenna 40 or see column 2, lines 61-63 "receiver amplifier unit 42").

Regarding claim 18, Corbefin further teaches repeating the at least one outgoing external signal (see fig.1, transponder 4 connected with external antenna 2 for repeating the outgoing external signal).

3. Claims 5, 6, 10, 18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbefin et al (US 6,269,243) in view of Powell (US 4,916,460) and further in view of Ritter (US 2002/0094829 A1) and Gilhousen (US 5,559,865).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter teaches claim 4. the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter does not specifically disclose the repeater includes an amplifier. Gilhousen further teaches the repeater includes an amplifier (see Gilhousen column 2, lines 48-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Gilhousen into the system of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter in order to enhance the transmission signal and radio coverage.

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Powell, Corbefin, Ritter and Gilhousen further teaches repeating the at least one incoming external signal (see Gilhousen fig.2,

connection between repeater 210 and antenna 215 for repeating the incoming external signal).

Regarding claim 21, the combination of Powell, Corbefin, Ritter and Gilhousen further teaches repeating the at least one outgoing external signal includes amplifying the at least one outgoing external signal (see Gilhousen column 2, lines 48-52).

4. Claims 14 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbefin et al (US 6,269,243) in view of Powell (US 4,916,460) and further in view of Ritter (US 2002/0094829 A1) and Mashida (JP408167786A).

Regarding claim 14, the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter teaches the steps of repeating and converting the at least one incoming external signal are performed in the aircraft (see Gilhousen column 2, lines 48-52 and see fig.2, connection between repeater 210 and antenna 215 for repeating the outgoing/incoming external signal). the combination of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter does not specifically disclose the step of repeating is performed in an electromagnetically isolated portion. Mashida teaches the step of repeating is performed in an electromagnetically isolated portion (see Mashida, Purpose).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to provide the above teaching of Mashida into the system of Corbefin, Powell and Ritter in order to protect the repeater from electromagnetic effect (see Mashida's Purpose).

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

On page 10 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that Corbfin with Powell fail to teach terrestrial base station.

The Examiner, however, disagrees. Applicant's specification page 9, lines 10-13, discloses a "terrestrial base station" is a base station on the ground, and Corbfin teaches an installation I (fig.1, see installation I, and see column 3, lines 45-55) and it reads on Applicant's "terrestrial base station".

On pages 10 and 11 of Applicant's remarks, Applicant argues that "Gilhousen (or Mashida) fails to provide any teaching toward the missing limitations described above with reference to the combination of Corberfin et al. and Powell".

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). In this case, Corbfin teaches terrestrial base station (see Examiner's answer above), the newly cited Ritter (US 2002/0094829 A1) teaches the cabin antenna oriented to substantially minimize back lobe energy directed toward the cockpit area (see page 1, [0019] "airplane" and see page 1, [0020]), and the combination of Powell, Corbfin, Ritter and Gilhousen teaches Applicant's claimed invention. In addition, Applicant's attention is directed to the rejection of claims 1, 7, 9, 15, 17 and 23 above.



**Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nghi H. Ly whose telephone number is (703) 605-5164. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am-5:30 pm Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nghi H. Ly

*NHL*  
08/26/04

*[Signature]*  
9/2/04  
LESTER G. KINCAID  
PRIMARY EXAMINER